

TO DO NO HARM

Ensuring Patient Safety in Health Care Organizations

Julianne M. Morath Joanne E. Turnbull

Foreword by Lucian L. Leape



CONTENTS

Foreword ix		
Lucian L. Le	eape	
Preface xv		
Acknowledgn	nents	xxiii
The Authors	xxvii	
Introduction	1	1

- 1 Declare Patient Safety Urgent and a Priority 12
- 2 Error and Harm in Health Care 23
- 3 Understanding the Basics of Patient Safety 44 ~
- 4 Assume Executive Responsibility 71
- 5 Import New Knowledge and Skills 96
- 6 Install a Blameless Reporting System 120
- 7 Assign Accountability 148
- 8 Align External Controls and Reform Education 181

- 9 Accelerate Change For Improvement 204
- 10 The End of the Beginning 234

References 245

Glossary 255

Appendixes

- 1 Checklist for Assessing Institutional Resilience 279
- 2 Creating De-Identified Case Studies for Dissemination 283
- 3 Medical Accidents Policy: Reporting and Disclosure,Including Sentinel Events 285
- 4 Medication Safety Team Feedback Form 295
- 5 Patient Safety Workplan 297
- 6 Safety Learning Report 300
- 7 Stop-the-Line Policy: Authority to Intervene to Restore Patient Safety 303
- 8 Complexity Lens Reflection 308
- 9 A Brief Look at Gaps in the Continuity of Care 311
- 10 A Brief Look at the New Look in Complex System Failure, Error, and Safety 313
- 11 A Reminder on Every Chart 315
- 12 List of Serious Reportable Events in Health Care 316
- 13 Statement of Principle: Talking to Patients About Health Care Injury 321
- 14 VHA Patient Safety Organizational Assessment 322

Additional Readings 331

Resources 335

Index 345

INDEX

A

Accelerated communication, 109-110 Accountability: before accidents happen, 157; blame vs., 84; demonstrating your, 159-166; using disclosure policy to promote, 153-157; engaging the sharp end through safety action teams for, 166-169; for establishing reporting-learning system, 169; grounding safety in strategic/operations plan for, 169-170; increasing call for health care, 148-149; for influencing external environment, 178-179; just culture and reciprocal, 158-159; measuring safety improvements to improve, 171-173; reciprocal, 82; safety culture as one of, 241; studies on disclosure and, 199; when accidents happen, 149-153; work plan for safety to improve, 170-171; working

173-178fig Active failures (or incorrect acts), 31, 49-52 Adverse drug events, 28 Adverse event analysis: confidentiality during, 151; creasing process of, 142-146; in the disclosure process, 150-151, 152; disclosure and truth telling during, 151, 153; of high risk procedures, 177; protocol for focused, 152e; sequence of, 150 Adverse events: additional types of, 28-29t; adverse drug events, 28; analysis of, 142-146, 150-152e, 153; calculating costs of, 90-91; diagnostic mistakes, 30; occurrence probability of, 147fig; per 100,100 patient days at hospital, 146fig, surgical, 29-30. See also Errors; Medical accidents Affleck, G., 88

AHA (American Hospital Associa-

tion), 72, 74

in complexity to improve,

AHRQ (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality), 39 Alcoa, 20-21 Allen, R. E., 174 Amalberti, R., 44, 47, 83, 105, 236 Ambient listening, 98 Ambulatory setting safety, 30-31 American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons, 30 American Association of Orthopedic Surgeons (JCAHO), 219 American Medical Association, 35 Analysis. See Adverse event analysis Anderson, A., 79 Anderson, S., 166 Andon cord policy, 86 Andrews, L. B., 29, 32 Annenberg Center for Health Sciences (1996), 35 Anonymity, 124 Appreciative inquiry, 215 Argyris, C., 228 ASQ (American Society for Quality), 210 ASRS (Aviation Safety Reporting System), 125-126

Attention factors, 49
Attribution, 150
Authority gradient: challenging the, 117–118; illustrated, 117fig
Aviation approach: challenging the authority gradient using, 117fig–118; errors observed using, 111, 112t–113t, 114; to improve communication, 115–116; teamwork planning as part of, 116–117; using teamwork to create safety, 114

В

Bagian, J. P., 78, 90, 126, 135 Barach, P., 78 Barker, K. N., 32 Barnes Jewish Hospital System, 199 Batalden, P., 201, 207 Bates, D. W., 28, 32, 90 Becher, E. C., 26 Belkin, L., 34 Berwick, D. M., 15, 16, 17, 76, 122, 183, 186–187, 187, 188, 201, Best practices: adapted from aviation and industry, 219, 221-222; for medication, 176-177; NQF endorsed set of safe practices as, 219, 220*e*–221*e*; for patient safety, 36 "Beyond Blame" (all-day course), 78 "Beyond Blame" (video), 73, 232 Billings, C. E., 125 Blame and shame culture: of health care culture, 197; learning prevented by, 121; of NASA following Challenger disaster, 237-238 Blameless environment, 84, 156 Blameless reporting system principles: as critical for success, 132; emphasis on near misses, 135; focus on the story, 134-135; strong confidentiality protections, 133-134 Blameless reporting systems: accountability for establishing

learning as part of, 169; Chil-

dren's Hospitals (Minneapolis-

St. Paul), 137-142; critical

principles for success in, 132-135; developing/operating, 127-132; example of disclosure in, 153-157; using knowledge from aviation/safety science to design, 136-137; lessons from HROs on, 124; reasons for creating, 122-124. See also Voluntary reporting systems Blameworthy actions, 84 Blendon, R. J., 26, 27, 182, 196 Blumenthal, D., 83 Blunt-end workers, 18 Booth, M., 196 Bootman, J. L., 90 Bossidy, L., 207, 209 Boston Globe, 148 Boundaries of excediency, 47, 105 Brennan, T. A., 26, 32, 34, 91 Breslau, D., 26 Brown, J., 231 Brown, J. P., 182 Bureaucracy, 85 Bureaucratic culture, 75 Business Roundtable, 36 BWH (Brigham and Women's Hospital) [Boston], 19, 20, 32

\mathbf{C}

Caldwell, C., 205, 206, 209 Campbell, J., 13 Canale, S. T., 17, 30 Car accident deaths, 37 Caranasos, G. J., 26 Care delivery process flow, 17 Carthey, J., 100 Carvey, D., 198 Causation summary, 58-59 Causey, J., 153 CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), 39 Challenger disaster (1986), 66, 237-238 Change: adapting best practices from aviation and industry, 219, 221-222; aligning with purchasers to encourage, 189, 191-194; aligning with risk management/performance improvement, 217-218; challenges for physicians and

clinical leaders, 218-219; creating internal collaboratives to facilitate, 224-225; educating health care professionals for, 77-80; leadership role in, 204-207; new roles for managers regarding, 214-217; optimizing information processes to facilitate, 222-223; planning for use of IT to improve safety. 92-94; rapid cycle change for, 168, 224, 225-228; simple rules for accelerating, 82fig-83; Six Sigma to improve safety/promote, 191-193, 192fig, 210-214; spreading organizational innovation and, 228-229; strategies for accelerating health care community, 229-232; strategies for accelerating organization, 209-210; threshold, 204 Change Acceleration Program (General Electric), 210 Charan, R., 207, 209 Charlie-Victor-Romeo (one-act play), 232 Chase, W. M., 184 Chassin, M. R., 26, 192 Cheaney, E. S., 125 Checklists/protocols, 109 Children's Hospitals and Clinics (Minneapolis/St. Paul): blameless reporting system at, 136-142; comprehensive patient safety agenda at, 13; full disclosure policy of, 149–150; future reporting actions planned at, 142; Harvard Executive Session model adopted by, 230-232; improving safe prescribing at, 207-209; journey to patient safety culture by, 178fig; OOPS (Office of Patient Safety) established at, 129; patient safety indicators (2002), 175e; Q4 2002 medication best practices, 176-177; Q4 2002 process analysis of high risk procedures, 177; Q4 2002 Target Plan, 174fig; S.A.F.E. operations plan of, 169-170, 173; Safety Learning Reports

The second secon

used at, 138-139; SATs (safety action teams) of, 166-169; Webbased reporting designed by, 128 Children's Patient Safety Learning Center, 137 CLARION (University of Minnesota Academic Health Center), 188-189, 190fig Clark, J. B., 21 Clinical Improvement Action Guide, 207 Cluff, L. E., 26 CMS (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services), 39 CNA HealthPro, 35 Coehn, E., 205 Cognition studies, 46 Cohen, M. R., 34, 84 Collaborative Communications Cycle, 115-116 Collaborative structure, 98 Columbia shuttle breakup (2003), 237, 238 Command and control, 98, 164-165 Commonwealth Fund, 26-27 Communication: to adapt high reliability to health care, 102; ambient listening, 98; Collaborative Communications Cycle, 115-116; using human factors concepts/aviation techniques to improve, 115-116; of lessons from reporting systems, 132; to reduce ECMO accidents. 109-110 Competency evaluations, 109 Complex adaptive system: described, 101; developmental trajectory from simple to, 101t, working for safety in, 173-178fig Complex system failure, 237fig-238 Concord Collaborative Care Model, 115, 116 Confidentiality: during adverse event analysis, 151; Midwest Business Group on Health suggestion on, 37; of reporting systems, 133-134 Confirmation bias, 209 Connell, L., 125 Constraining function, 62

Consumers: protecting by anticipating unique needs of, 183; questions being asked by, 181-182; solutions for dealing with multicultural, 184-188; wants/needs of, 182-183. See also Families; Patients Conway, J., 72, 74 Cook, R. I., 25, 41, 49, 52, 53, 59, 63, 67, 78, 129, 130, 236 Cooper, J. B., 187 CoreLab, 231-232 Corrigan, J. M., 28, 31, 37, 45, 121, 182 Cote, V., 116 Crago, M., 191 Creative adaptability, 216-217 Crew resource management, 111 Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century (IOM), 38, 45, 182, 188, 189 > Culbert, T., 186 Cultural competence, 185-186 Culture of partnership, 242 Culture. See Patient safety culture

D

Dana-Farber Cancer Institute

(Boston), 72, 148, 201-202 Darley, J. M., 68 Davis, K., 27 de Leval, M. R., 100 Death: Institute of Medicine report on causes of, 37; Kübler-Ross five stage response to, 15-16 Death-and-dying analogy, 15-16 Debriefings, 110 Delbanco, T., 85 Denham, C., 40 Department of Veterans Affairs study (1998), 14 Diagnostic judgment, 31 Diagnostic mistakes, 30 Diffusion of responsibility, 47, 67-68 Disclosure/truth telling: accountability through policy of full,

149-150; anchoring safety

culture with, 86; event analysis

in process of, 150-152e, 153;

studies on accountability and,

199 Donaldson, M. S., 28, 31, 37, 45, 121, 182 Donchin, Y., 32 Dovey, S. M., 31

\mathbf{E}

E-mail safety lessons list, 132 Early adopters, 35 Ebers, A. G., 199 ECMO (extracorporeal membrane oxygenation) program: accelerated communication to reduce accidents in, 109-110; debriefings to reduce accidents in, 110; using high-reliability tools to reduce accidents in, 107-108; protocols/checklists to reduce accidents in, 109; regular competency evaluations to reduce accidents in, 109; simulations used to reduce accidents, 108-109; training manual to reduce accidents in, 110 Edmond, M. B., 28 Education: aligning with accreditors and regulators, 194-197; aligning with legal system, media, and medical accident victims, 197-202; aligning with purchasers to encourage change, 189-194; change through health care professional, 77-80; of health care professionals about safe prescribing practices, 207-209; implications of aligning with academic community, 188-189, 190fig; for leadership on patient safety, 41-42 Epidemiology: defining, 23; of health care accidents, 25-32 Epidemiology of health care accidents: cost of medical accident, 31-32; frequency of medical accident, 26-27; major themes of, 25; summary of major studies of adverse events, 27t; types of medical accident,

27-31

Ergonomics, 66-67 Ernst, F. R., 31, 32, 33 Error-tolerant culture, 111 Error-tolerant system, 47 Errors: accident or failure vs., 85; airline cockpit observed, 111, 112t-113t, 114; available research on types/frequency of medical, 29t; complex system failure and cycle of, 237fig-238; as data source to help avoid harm, 24-25; designing processes that deflect system, 122; embraced to eliminate harm, 82-83; FDA on "use," 30; fundamental attribution, 47; learning from near misses, 135; literature/thought regarding, 23-24; pilot and prototype for eliminating medication, 223-224; as symptom of larger problem, 24. See also Adverse events; Medical accidents; Mistakes

Errors of omission, 31
Espinosa, J., 223
"Examining Errors in Health Care:
Developing a Prevention,
Education, and Research
Agenda" (1996), 35
Executive responsibility. See
Leadership

External environment, accountability for influencing the, 178–179

External reporting systems, 130–131*t*

F

FACCT (Foundation for Accountability), 36
Faden, A. I., 26
Failures: active (or incorrect acts), 31, 49–52; complex system, 237fig-238; errors vs. accident or, 85; FMEA (Failure Mode and Effects Analysis) of, 107, 126, 223; information contained in, 87; latent, 49–52; leadership assumptions about, 92; process, 91; single-point, 62–63

Families: closing the gap between CEO and, 88-89; using disclosure policy to promote accountability to, 153-157; understanding response to medical accident by, 87. See also Consumers; Patients FDA (Food and Drug Administration): patient safety movement role by, 39; on use errors, 30 Feedback on safety learning, 132, 134fig "First Do No Harm" (video), 73 Fischhoff, B., 63 Fiske, S. T., 64 Flinchbaugh, J., 86 Flowers, L., 196 Flynn, E. A., 32 FMEA (Failure Mode and Effects Analysis), 107, 126, 223 Focus groups, 13-15 FOCUS model for change, 210 Forcing function, 61–62 Foresight, 63 Formal rules: hierarchy as system of, 84-85; of HROs, 98 France, A.-C., 123 Frankel, A., 18, 19, 20 Fraser, S. W., 199, 228, 235, 237 Frazier, V., 199 Froedtert Hospital (Wisconsin), 210-214 Full disclosure policy, 149-150 Fundamental attribution error, 47

Falk, D., 153, 154, 155

G

Gallagher, T. H., 199
Gandhi, T. K., 31
Gawande, A., 197
General Electric's Change Acceleration Program, 210
Generative culture, 75fig-77
Genovese, K., 67
Georgia Partnership for Health and Accountability, 231
Gibberd, R. W., 32
Gladwell, M., 104
Gliniecki, K., 153, 154, 155
Gluck, J., 166
Gonsalves, J., 76, 77

"Good catch" log, 128, 165–166, 168 Gordon, S. E., 51 Gosbee, J. W., 126 Grabowski, M. R., 97 Greenhalgh, T., 235, 237 Grizzle, A. J., 31, 32, 33 Gurwitz, J. H., 31

Н

Haley, R. W., 28 Hall, J., 215 Hamm, G., 199 Hammond, S., 215 Hardy, R., 125 Harm trajectory in high-risk systems, 51fig Harm-free care belief, 17-18 Harris, L., 26, 182 Harris poll (2002), 182 Harrison, B., 32 Harry, M. J., 191 Harvard Executive Sessions on Medical Error and Patient Safety, 35-36, 229-231 Harvard Medical Malpractice Study, 34 Harvard Practice Study, 90 Hayday, B., 216 Health care: adapting high reliability to, 102-103; barriers to high reliability in, 99-102; multicultural solutions for safe/equitable, 184-188. See also Patient safety

Health care professionals: blunt-end workers, 18; change challenges for, 218–219; sharp-end workers, 16–20, 47–48, 50fig, 166–169. See also Staff

Health care system: accident rates in, 41; educating leadership on patient safety in, 41–42; hierarchy of, 17; patient safety solutions to broken, 40–42; strategies for accelerating change in, 229–232

Health care system change strategies: engaging the mind and emotions as, 232; using Harvard Executive Session, 35-36, 229-231; reporting/learning collectives used as, 231-232

Health care teams: creating teambased solutions, 103–104; using disclosure policy to promote accountability to, 153–157; safety created by, 114; safety planning by, 116–117; SATs (safety action teams), 128, 166–169. See also Sharp-end workers; Staff

Heedful procedures, 84
Heget, J. R., 126
Hellmich, T., 54–55
Helmreich, R., 111, 160
Hershey, C. O., 26
Hickson, G., 88, 197
Hierarchy: of care systems, 17;
HROs and structure of, 98; as system of formal rules, 84

High-alert medication safety, 195
High-reliability tools: accelerated
communication as, 109–110;
debriefings as, 110; protocols/
checklists as, 109; used to
reduce probability of accidents,
107–108; regular competency
evaluations as, 109; simulations
as, 108–109; training manual
as, 110

Hindsight bias: as barrier to patient safety, 121; described, 63fig-64; diffusion of responsibility to avoid, 67–68; guarding against, 150; normalization of deviance to avoid, 66–67; tightly coupled work processes to avoid, 68; understanding factors leading to fire to avoid, 64–68

HMPS (Harvard Medical Practice Study), 26

Hooke, C., 167

HROs (high-reliability organizations): adapting to health care, 102–103; airline cockpit observed errors, 111, 112*t*–113*t*, 114; barriers to health care in, 99–102; characteristics and structure of, 97–99; used to create reporting system in health care, 126; demonstrating

accountability using lessons from, 160–161; development of safety culture in, 102t; learning team-based solutions from, 103–104; lessons in blameless reporting from, 124

Human factors: to improve communication, 115–116; of systems approach, 46

Human Factors Research Project (University of Texas, Austin),

1

Iceberg model, 122-123fig

111

IHI (Institute for Healthcare Improvement) [Boston], 224-225 Implicit knowledge, 162 Information: contained in failure and accidents, 87; safety and optimizing processes of, 222-223; safety and pursuit of, 76-77; safety and use of, 77. See also Knowledge base Institute of Healthcare Improvement conference (2002), 200 Institute of Medicine (IOM): Crossing the Quality Chase report by, 38, 45, 182, 188, 189; patient safety reports made by, 37-38. See also To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System (IOM) Internal reporting systems, 130-131 Investments in patient safety, 91-92

J

analysis template, 143, 145fig

Ishikawa diagram: root cause

IT (information technology),

92 - 94

Jacott, W., 194
JCAHO accreditation, 194–195
JCAHO (Joint Commission on
Accreditation of Health Care
Organizations), 34, 185, 222
JCAHO Patient Safety Standards,
72
JCAHO surveys, 195–196
Johannesen, L., 49

John F. Kennedy School of Government (Harvard University), 36, 229

Johnson, B., 214

Johnson, J. A., 90

Johnson, W. G., 91

Journal of the American Medical

Association, 199

Judgment vs. learning, 85

Juran Quality Improvement

Process, 210

Just culture: Marx's definition of, 62; reciprocal accountability and, 158–159; safety culture as, 241–242

K

Kaiser Family Foundation, 26 Kaiser Family Foundation survey (2002), 26, 182 Kaiser Permanente Institute for Health Policy, 127 Kaiser/New England Journal of Medicine survey (2003), 196 Kalanj, B., 186 Kendall, E., 116 Kennedy, J. F., 72 Khalif, M., 186 King, J., 200 King, S., 200 Kizer, K., 172 Knowledge base: on adapting high reliability to health care, 102-103; on airline cockpit observed errors, 111, 112t-113t, 114; challenging the authority gradient using new, 117-118; gained from Safety Learning Reports (Children's Hospital), 139; on high-reliability organizations, 97-102; using high-reliability to reduce medical accidents, 107-108; on human factors concepts/aviation techniques to improve communication, 115-116; implicit, 162; instilling safety through, 96-97; leadership role in expanding, 107; on learning team-based solutions, 103-104; on safety culture development, 102t; zone

Knowledge base, continued strategy, 104–106, 105t. See also Information Knowledge factors, 49 Knowledge-based activities, 60–61 Knox, E., 78, 82 Kohn, L. T., 28, 31, 37, 45, 121, 182 Kraman, S. S., 199 Kübler-Ross, E., 15 Kübler-Ross phenomenon, 15–16

L

Lagasse, R. S., 126

Langley, G. J., 225

Lakshmanan, M. C., 26

Language of safety, 83-85

Lapses: compensating for, 61-63; described, 47, 60 Large-scale complex systems: described, 97; development of safety culture in, 102t Latane, B., 68 Latent failure, 49-52 Lawthers, A. G., 91 Leadership: accepting responsibility for mitigating risk/building resilience, 80-82; accountability demonstrated by, 159-166; anchoring safety culture with culture carriers, 85-86; business case for safety and, 89-90; calculating costs of adverse events, 90-91; change and role of, 204-207; closing the gap between patient's family and, 88-89; declaring safety as workplace priority, 20-21; embracing error to eliminate harm, 82-83; engaging the mind/emotions for change, 232; generative or learning culture created by, 75fig-80; knowledge base expansion role by, 107; use of language to shape patient safety culture, 83-85; making decisions about patient safety investments, 91-92; new change role of managers in, 214-217; patient safety education of, 41-42; patient safety role of, 18; personal involvement by, 72-75;

planning for safety improvement through IT, 92-94; quality and patient safety improvement by, 91; safety culture defined/shaped by, 86-88 Leadership accountability demonstration: command and control used for, 164-165; engaging the organization as, 165-166; using lessons from HROs, 160-162; by making implicit knowledge explicit, 162; using mechanisms for learning for, 165; perception of risk as, 163; by process auditing, 162; by pursuit of safety standards, 163; by reward systems, 162-163; by setting priorities, 159-160; by staffing resources and support, 164 Leape, L. L., 17, 23, 33, 34, 38, 45, 66, 90, 91, 229 Leapfrog Group, 27, 36-37 Learning: accountability and mechanisms for, 165; accountability for reporting system which includes, 169; blame as preventing, 121; feedback on safety, 132, 134fig, high reliability in health care and active, 103; judgment vs., 85; safety culture as one of, 242 Lee, C. Z., 78, 126 Legal system, 197-199 Lehman, B., 148 Levin, J., 92 Levinson, W., 199 Ley, C. A., 173, 230 Lindberg, C., 215, 216 Linsenmann, D. R., 191 Listening (ambient), 98 Literature distribution service, 132 Liu, Y., 51 Los Angeles Times, 198 Luther, K., 104, 106, 118

\mathbf{M}

McCandless, K., 100 McDonell, J., 198 Malone, G., 13, 14–15, 79 Management-patient safety link, 18–20 Manager change role, 214-217 Manasse, H., Jr., 78 Mandatory reporting systems: comparing voluntary and, 127; To Err Is Human call for, 39, 121. See also Voluntary reporting systems Margolis, J. D., 21 Marx, D., 61, 62 Massachusetts Coalition for the Prevention of Medical Error, 219, 231 Massman, I., 91 Measuring safety improvements: using measure of specific strategies for, 173; using NQF "Never Again" events, 171-172; using VA culture survey, 172-173 Media-patient safety education alignment, 197-199 Medical accidents: accountability for, 149-153; aligning education with victims of, 199-201; analysis of, 142-146fig; available research on types/frequency of, 29t; consumer studies on, 28t; cost of, 31-32; frequency of, 26-27; health care rate of, 41; using high-reliability tools to reduce, 107-108; protecting staff who report, 153; summary of major studies on, 27t; types of, 27-31; understanding family's response to, 87. See also Adverse events; Errors Medical College of Wisconsin, 210 Medications: best practices, 176-177; educating about safe prescribing practices, 207-209; high-alert medication safety, 195; pilot and prototype for eliminating errors in, 223-224 Memorial Hermann: creating process of analysis for medical accident/adverse event at, 142-143; Ishikawa diagram: root cause analysis template for, 143, 145fig; lessons learned from accident/adverse event analysis at, 144-146; Root Cause Analysis Report used at, 143-144fig Memorial Hermann Healthcare

System, 118

Memorial Hermann Hospital (Houston), 226 Merry, M., 182, 189, 191 Metaphor (Swiss cheese wedge), 80-81fig, 82 Michigan Health Safety Coalition, Microsystem of care, 72 Midwest Business Group on Health, 31, 37 Millenson, M. L., 187 Mindfulness, 68-69 Minnesota Alliance for Patient Safety, 230, 231 Minnesota Hospital Association, 230 Mistakes: compensating for, 61–63; described, 60-61. See also Errors Moen, R. D., 223 Morath, J., 79, 173, 201, 230 Moser, R. H., 26 Motorola, 210 Multicausal causes, 85 Multicultural issues: changing dialogues with multicultural consumers, 187-188; health care challenges regarding, 184-185; large-scale system improvements for consumers/providers, 186-187; specific strategies for increasing cultural competence, 185-186

N

Nance, J., 78 NASA: aviation errors submitted to, 125; blame and shame culture of, 237-238; Challenger disaster (1986) response by, 66, 237-238; Columbia shuttle breakup (2003) response by, 237, 238; hazard identification by, 76-77 NASA/VA's Patient Safety Reporting System (PSRS), 126 NCPS (National Center for Patient Safety), 35, 40 NCPS (National Patient Safety Foundation survey) [1997], 26 NCPS Triage Cards for Root Cause Analysis, 55, 56fig-58 Near misses, 135

Nelson, A. R., 184 Nelson, E. C., 115, 207 "Never Again" events (NQF), 171 - 172"No End to Errors" (Washington Post), 122 Nolan, K. M., 225 Nolan, T. W., 225 Normalization of deviance, 66-67 Norman, C., 162, 225, 238 NPSF (National Patient Safety Foundation), 24-25, 35, 74, 230 NQF (National Quality Forum), 39-40, 219 NQF (National Quality Forum) "Never Again" events, 171-172 NQF (National Quality Forum) report (2003), 219, 220e-221e

o

O'Connor, Dr., 153, 154-155, 156,

Olstad, T., 154, 155-156

O'Neill, P., 20-21

157

223

Ovid, 204

OOPS (Office of Patient Safety) [Minneapolis Children's Hospitals], 129 Organizations: accountability by engaging the, 165-166; applying Six Sigma to health care, 191–193, 192fig, 210–214; assessing safety state of your, 13; barriers to high reliability in health care in, 99-102; characteristics/structure of highreliability, 97-99; new change roles for managers in, 214-217; spending innovation through, 228-229; strategies to accelerate change in your, 209-210 Osbon, D. K., 13 Overlook Hospital (New Jersey),

P

Pathological culture, 75
Patient and Family Advisory
Council (Dana-Farber Cancer
Institute), 201–202

Patient safety: in ambulatory settings, 30-31; asking wicked questions about, 215; assessing state of your organization, 13; assumptions of appreciative inquiry into, 215-216; beliefs vs. principles of, 71-72; believing in harm-free care and, 17-18; business case for, 89-90; using focus groups to assess current state of, 13-15; improving quality and, 91; investments in, 91-92; journey to, 236t, 240-244; Kübler-Ross phenomenon and, 15-16; leadership role in, 18, 20-21; measuring improvements in, 171-173; methodological issues of, 32-33; power of the patient/sharp end staff for, 16-17, 18; as rapidly advancing field, 239-240; requirements for advancing, 230–231; WalkRounds process used to increase, 18-20; who is at risk?, 30. See also Health care

Patient safety basics: active and latent failure concept, 49-52; avoiding hindsight bias to understand accidents, 63fig-68; blunt-end concept, 48-49, 50fig; cognitive concepts, 59-64; constructing a causation summary, 58-59; creating process flow diagram, 55; using human factors science/VA triage cards, 55, 56fig-58; mindfulness and sensemaking, 68-69; sharp-end concept, 16-17, 18, 47-48, 50fig; summary points of, 69-70; Swiss Cheese Model, 52-53fig, 54-55, 70; the systems approach, 45-47

Patient safety culture: accountability for influencing environment external of, 178–179; as accountable, just, and learning culture, 241–242; anchored with culture carriers, 85–86; Children's Hospital (Minneapolis/St. Paul) journey to, 178fg; creating generative or learning, 75fg–77; development of a,

Patient safety culture, continued 102t, 240-242; educating health care professionals to change, 77-80; leadership defined/shaping of, 86-88; using reporting systems to nurture, 131-132; teamwork to create, 114; tools of, 14 Patient safety indicators, 175e Patient safety journey: approaches/tools for, 236t; creation of safety culture during, 240-242; lessons learned along the, 242-244; understanding the, 240 "Patient Safety Learning Report" (Children's Hospital, Minneapolis-St. Paul), 137 Patient safety movement: background of the, 34; broken system and solutions from, 40-42; business community role in, 36-37; Harvard Executive Sessions on Medical Error and Patient Safety and, 35-36; Institute of Medicine's reports on, 37-38; National Center for Patient Safety and, 35; National Patient Safety Foundation and, 35; NOF (National Quality Forum) as part of, 39-40; U.S. government initiatives on, 38-39 Patient safety myths, 72 Patient safety officer, 37 Patient Safety Resource Guide (Morath, Malone, and Anderson), 79 Patients: using disclosure policy to promote accountability to, 153-157; education engaging patient-centeredness and, 201; what is important in health care experience of, 182-183t. See also Consumers; Families PDSA (Plan-Do-Study-Act) cycles, 210, 217, 225, 227 Pearson, R. E., 32 Pennsylvania Patient Safety Collaborative, 231

"People system" culture, 21

Performance improvement,

217-218

Perrow, C., 68

Phillips, A., 87 Physicians: change challenges for, 218-219; educating about safe prescribing practices, 207-209. See also Health care professionals PICOS Method, 210 Pittsburgh Regional Health Initiative, 231 Plsek, P. E., 215, 216 Ponte, P. R., 202 Poole, R., 96 Prager, L. O., 39 Prescribing practices, 207-209 President's Advisory Commission on Consumer Protection and Quality in the Health Care Industry, 39 Problem solving: assumptions of appreciative inquiry into, 215-216; differences between appreciative inquiry and, 216; unsolvable problem concept and, 214 Process auditing, 162 Process failures, 91 Process flow of care delivery, 17 Process flow diagram: applying triage cards to, 55, 56fig-58; creating, 55; described, 55 Protocols/checklists, 109 Provonost, P.J., 223 Provost, L. P., 225 PSRS (Patient Safety Reporting System) [NASA/VA], 126 Punitive or retaliatory environment,

Q

Quality: improving patient safety and, 91; purchaser framework for improving, 192–194, 193fig; Six Sigma performance levels and cost of poor, 192fig QuIC (Quality Interagency Coordination) Task Force, 39

R

Raef, S., 159, 160 Rapid cycle change, 168, 224, 225–228 Rapid Replication methodologies. 210 Rasmussen, J., 46, 47, 49 Reason, J. T., 24, 45, 46, 47, 49, 50, 52, 59, 60, 63, 64, 100, 101, Reciprocal accountability, 82, 158 - 159Redundancy function, 62 Regulators, 196 Reitman, V., 198 Report cards on safe practices, 132, Reporting systems. See Blameless reporting systems; Voluntary reporting systems Reward systems, 162-163 Reynard, W. D., 125 Riley, T., 196 Risk: accepting responsibility for mitigating, 80-82; acknowledgment of, 102-103; harm trajectory in high-risk systems, 51fig; leadership perception of, 163; minimizing potential of increased, 92; Swiss Cheese Model representation of, 70: Swiss Cheese Model to deconstruct, 54-55; using zone strategy to reduce, 104-106, 105tRisk management, 128, 217-218 Risk/process auditing, 107 Risser, D. T., 114, 116 Roberts, K. H., 97, 101, 102, 164 Rogers, E. M., 35, 228, 229 ROI (return on investment), 89 Root Cause Analysis Report, 143-144fig Root causes, 85 Rosenthal, J., 196 Routine procedures, 84 Rule-based activities, 60 Runciman, W. B., 33, 126

S

Ryder, J., 207

S.A.F.E. (Children's Hospitals, Minneapolis-St. Paul), 169–170, 173 Safe prescribing practices, 207–209 Safety culture. See Patient safety culture Safety database, 132 Safety learning feedback, 132, 134fig Safety standards, 163 SATs (safety action teams), 128, Schering-Plough Corporation, 35 Schroeder, R., 191 Schwarz, J., 238 "The second victim," 87-88 Sensemaking, 68-69 Shapiro, J. P., 91 Shared Visions—New Pathways (JCAHO), 194-195 Sharp-end workers: described, 47-48, 50fig; engaged through SATs (safety action teams), 166-169; recognizing safety power of, 16-17; WorkRounds joining blunt end and, 18-20. See also Health care teams; Staff Sharpe, V. A., 26 "Sign your site" program (AAOS), 30 "Silent epidemic," 34 Simulations: of emergency response, 54; to reduce ECMO accidents, 108-109 Single-point failures, 62-63 Six Sigma: cost of poor quality as function of performance in, 192fig; DMAIC steps of, 210-214; used to improve patient safety, 210-211; overview of, 191-193 Six Sigma steps: 1: define, 211–212; 2: measure, 212; 3: analyze, 212; 4: improve, 213; 5: control, 213-214 Slips: compensating for, 61-63; described, 47, 60 Slovic, 63 SMART (specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, timebased), 228 Smedley, B. D., 184 Smetzer, J. L., 84 Smith, R., 235 Staff: accountability by providing resources/support of, 164; edu-

cating for change, 77-80; "good catch" logs kept by, 128, 165-166, 168; patient safety and power of sharp-end, 16-17; protected when reporting accidents, 153; response as "the second victim," 87-88. See also Health care professionals; Health care teams; Sharp-end workers Staffing levels/planning, 92 Stewart, R. B., 26 Stith, A. Y., 184 Strategic factors (or goals), 49 Sutcliffe, K., 68 Swiss Cheese Model: used to deconstruct risk, 54-55; metaphorical exploration of leadership responsibility, 80-81fig, 82; multicausal concept illustrated by, 85; nature of emerging risks represented in, 70; overview of, 52-53fig; SAT meeting use of, 168 System errors, 122 System Safety Group, 231 System vulnerabilities, 33 Systems approach: described, 45-46; human factors of, 46;

\mathbf{T}

organizational analysis of,

46-47

Tai, D. Y., 30

Taylor, S. E., 64 Teams: drawing out creative adaptability of, 216-217; factors that characterize slow and fast, 206t; Minnesota's educational collaboration, 189, 190fig, SATs (safety action teams), 128, 166-169 Teamwork: to create safety, 114; by SATs (safety action teams), 128, 166-169; for team-based solutions, 103-104 Teamwork planning, 116-117 Tennen, H., 88 Thomas, E. J., 26, 30, 33 Thomas, M., 207-209 3M Corporation, 35 Threshold change, 204

Through the Patient's Eyes (Gerteis and others), 182 Tichey, N., 205 Tightly coupled work processes, 47 Tipping point, 104 Titan rocket safety, 76-77 To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System (IOM): on costs of medical accidents, 31; exposure of daily medical errors in, 181-182; health care changes mandated by, 38; influence of, 37, 40; mandatory voluntary reporting systems called for by, 39, 121; as recommended reading for health care leaders, 72; systems framework for patient safety endorsed by, 45. See also Institute of Medicine (IOM) Training manual, 110 Triage cards, 55, 56fig-58 Truth telling/disclosure, 86

U

Uhlig, P. N., 242
University Healthcare Consortium,
231
University of Minnesota Academic
Health Center, 188–189,
190fig
Unsolvable problems concept, 214
U.S. Department of Health and
Human Services, 39
U.S. government patient safety
initiatives, 38–39

\mathbf{V}

VA culture survey, 172–173
VA (Veterans Administration), 35
van den Berghe, G., 210
VAPs (ventilator-acquired pneumonias), 226–228
Vaughan, D., 66, 237, 238
Virginians Improving Patient Care and Safety, 231
Veterans Administration National
Center for Patient Safety, 196, 223
Veterans Affairs Hospital (Kentucky), 199

Veterans Affairs National Center for Patient Safety, 172
Vincent, C., 19, 87, 129, 159, 160
Voluntary reporting system
development: 1: information to be reported, 127–128; 2: how will information be reported, 128–129; 3: analytical process to identify problems/expertise needed, 129–130; 4: aligning existing internal/external reporting with voluntary system, 130–131; 5: reporting system used to nurture safety culture, 131–132

Voluntary reporting systems:
accountability for establishing
learning as part of, 169; ASRS
experience with, 125–126; comparing mandatory and, 127;
developing/operating blameless,
127–132; examining the workings of a, 120; using HROs to
create health care, 126; reasons
for creating blameless, 122–124.

See also Blameless reporting systems; Mandatory reporting systems
VSS (Vulnerable System Syndrome), 100
Vulnerable systems: described, 100; development of safety culture in, 102t

w

Wald, M., 238
Walker, P. F., 184
WalkRounds, 18–20
Washington Post, 122
Washington University Medical
Center (St. Louis), 199
Waterman, A. D., 199
Weeks, W. B., 90
Weick, K. E., 47, 68
Weingart, S. N., 30, 32, 173, 230.
Weinstein, R. A., 28
Welch, J., 205
Wennberg, J., 187
Wenzel, R. P., 28

Westrum, R., 75, 101
Wetzell, S., 191
Wicked questions, 215
Wickens, C. D., 51
Williams, L., 132
Wilson, N., 14, 172
Wilson, R., 26
Wilson, R. M., 32
Wisconsin Patient Safety Institute, 231
Woods, D. D., 49, 59, 63, 67
Work plan for safety, 170–171
"Work-around," 52

Y

Young, M., 87

Z

Zimmerman, B., 100, 215, 216 Zipperer, L., 132, 166 Zone strategy: overview of, 105*t*; preventing risk-prone conditions with, 104–106